



# **Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth of Petunia (*Petunia hybrida* L.) var. Grandiflora Rose**

**Daljot Singh <sup>a</sup> and Jujhar Singh <sup>a\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab-140406, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92800>

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121570>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 18/06/2024**

**Accepted: 20/08/2024**

**Published: 24/08/2024**

## **ABSTRACT**

The present investigation was conducted at the Experimental Farm, Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab in 2023 with ten treatments comprising of T<sub>1</sub>; Control, T<sub>2</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (250 ppm), T<sub>3</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (350 ppm), T<sub>4</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (450 ppm). T<sub>5</sub>; CCC (450 ppm), T<sub>6</sub>; CCC (550 ppm), T<sub>7</sub>; CCC (650 ppm), T<sub>8</sub>; NAA (40 ppm), T<sub>9</sub>; NAA (50 ppm) and T<sub>10</sub>; NAA (60 ppm) with three replications in randomized block design. The results revealed the maximum plant height (27.94 cm), plant spread (57.02 cm<sup>2</sup>), number of leaves/plant (651.04), number of branches/plant (21.96), Stem length (25.63), Leaf area (9.07 cm<sup>2</sup>) were in T<sub>3</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> at a rate of 350 ppm, while minimum plant height (19.05 cm) and Stem length (16.12 cm) was recorded in T<sub>6</sub>; CCC at a rate of 550 ppm and rest of the vegetative parameters was in T<sub>1</sub>; Control.

**Keywords:** CCC; GA<sub>3</sub>; NAA; petunia; plant growth regulators.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [jujhar220@yahoo.com](mailto:jujhar220@yahoo.com);

**Cite as:** Singh, Daljot, and Jujhar Singh. 2024. "Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth of Petunia (*Petunia Hybrida* L.) Var. *Grandiflora* Rose". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (9):20-23. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92800>.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most significant annual flowers, the petunia (*Petunia x hybrida*) belongs to the Solanaceae family. The petunia originated in South America. Petunia genus, which includes over 25 species of various kinds, including synthetic garden plant species, is one of the most widely utilized genera for the production of new variants. It has been a commercially significant annual flower. The research on petunia shown that there are currently only 14 species recognized in the genus Petunia [1]. Through a natural cross between two wild species, *Petunia axillaris* Lam. and *P. violacea* Lindl the most popular petunia, *Petunia x hybrida*, emerged. It has outstanding aesthetic value [2].

The foliar application of plant growth regulators offers an efficient means of directly delivering specific growth regulators to plant tissues, by passing the root system. This technique is frequently utilized to target particular plant responses, such as the promotion of flowering, the enhancement of leaf growth, and the improvement of stress tolerance. Following the recommended application rates and timings is imperative when using foliar-applied PGRs to achieve optimal results and prevent potential phytotoxicity concerns. Properly diluting the PGR solution and ensuring uniform leaf coverage are critical for effective absorption and translocation within the plant

Many ornamental crops have utilized various plant growth regulators with their effectiveness proven in nursery production, ornamental foliage plants, and other flower crops. Keep in mind the importance of Petunia and growth regulators, the present investigation was conducted with the aim to evaluate the effect of growth regulators on Petunia.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study comprises of ten treatments with specific concentrations T<sub>1</sub>; Control, T<sub>2</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (250 ppm), T<sub>3</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> 350 ppm, T<sub>4</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (450 ppm), T<sub>5</sub>; CCC (450 ppm), T<sub>6</sub>; CCC (550 ppm), T<sub>7</sub>; CCC (650 ppm), T<sub>8</sub>; NAA (40 ppm), T<sub>9</sub>; NAA (50 ppm), T<sub>10</sub>; NAA (60 ppm). Seedlings of Petunia var. Grandiflora rose was planted in a randomized block design with three replications at the experimental farm, Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, during the winter season of 2023. The plant spacing was maintained at 30 x 25 cm, and all

the recommended practices were followed to ensure healthy growth of the plants.

We measured some growth parameters such as plant height, number of branches, plant spread, number of leaves, stem length, and leaf area.

Plant growth regulators were applied using the foliar method because of the quick response of growth regulators. It involves the direct spraying of growth regulators onto the plant. It was done 30 days post-transplanting. For foliar spray, solutions of GA<sub>3</sub> at rates of 250, 350, and 450 ppm, NAA at rates of 40, 50, and 60 ppm, and CCC at rates of 450, 550, and 650 ppm were prepared.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Vegetative Parameters

All the vegetative treatments showed a significant (P<0.05) effect on all the six parameters of growth. The tallest plants were noted in T<sub>3</sub> which exhibited statistical superiority compared to the other treatments. The shortest plants were noted in T<sub>6</sub> which is statistically inferior to other treatments. The application of the optimum dose of the GA<sub>3</sub> might have increased the plant height through the acceleration of cell division, cell elongation, stem elongation and internodal distance which helped the plants to grow taller. The findings of the present research are consistent with the results of Sharma and Collis [3] Surabhi et. al., [4] Alhajhoj [5] and Manimaran et. al., [6].

Treatment T<sub>3</sub> displayed the highest (P<0.05) number of branches demonstrating statistical superiority over the other treatments. The lowest number of branches was found in T<sub>1</sub> excluding the application of plant growth regulators which is statistically inferior to other treatments. The optimum dose of GA<sub>3</sub> (350 ppm) enhances the cell growth which encourages the formation of more branches. The observations and findings in the present investigation are consistent with the results obtained by Delvadia et. al, [7] and Shinde et. al., [8].

T<sub>3</sub> resulted in the plant achieving its maximum spread, which is statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>. The minimum plant spread was found in T<sub>1</sub> which is statistically at par with T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>. The increased spread of plants was due to the application of GA<sub>3</sub> which is responsible for the promotion of cell elongation and division, ultimately leading to comprehensive plant growth and development. Similar results were also noted by Guatam et. al., [9] and Kumar et. al., [10].

**Table 1. Performance of different treatments for various characters of Petunia**

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves/plant	Plant spread (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Number of branches/plant	Stem length (cm)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	19.42	315.73	44.60	14.64	17.31	7.19
T <sub>2</sub>	25.66	632.66	56.63	19.13	23.34	7.73
T <sub>3</sub>	27.94	651.04	57.02	21.96	25.63	9.07
T <sub>4</sub>	23.79	605.93	51.19	17.53	21.48	7.57
T <sub>5</sub>	19.40	607.53	50.52	16.69	16.63	7.41
T <sub>6</sub>	19.05	462.44	50.17	17.20	16.12	7.62
T <sub>7</sub>	20.80	632.61	50.61	17.22	18.62	7.61
T <sub>8</sub>	17.99	369.87	50.88	17.09	17.28	7.45
T <sub>9</sub>	22.00	639.08	50.17	17.31	19.81	7.59
T <sub>10</sub>	20.65	476.57	50.84	17.20	18.44	7.42
Sem±	0.28	20.80	2.03	0.34	0.37	0.06
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.84	61.79	6.03	1.01	1.10	0.18

T<sub>1</sub>; Control, T<sub>2</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (250 ppm), T<sub>3</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (350 ppm), T<sub>4</sub>; GA<sub>3</sub> (450 ppm), T<sub>5</sub>; CCC (450 ppm), T<sub>6</sub>; CCC (550 ppm), T<sub>7</sub>; CCC (650 ppm), T<sub>8</sub>; NAA (40 ppm), T<sub>9</sub>; NAA (50 ppm), and T<sub>10</sub>; NAA (60 ppm).

The highest ( $P < 0.05$ ) number of leaves was seen in the T<sub>3</sub> which is statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>6</sub>; CCC (550 ppm) (462.44). At optimum concentration of GA<sub>3</sub>, it will accelerate plant growth and improve the uptake of nutrients which leads to more number of leaves per plant. The shortest number of leaves was seen in T<sub>1</sub>; control (315.73) which is statistically at par with T<sub>8</sub>; NAA (40 ppm) (369.87). The studies were conducted by Kumar et. al., [11] and Sharma and Joshi [12].

The T<sub>3</sub> exhibited the longest ( $P < 0.05$ ) stem length which is statistically superior to the other treatments. The stem length was increased due to the application of GA<sub>3</sub> to the plants, a significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) increase in stem length can be observed due to the activation of cell elongation processes. The shortest stem length was found in T<sub>6</sub> which is statistically inferior to other treatments. These results are similar to Tyagi and Kumar [13] and Dhaduk et. al., [14].

Treatment T<sub>3</sub> exhibited the greatest ( $P < 0.05$ ) leaf area compared to the other treatments, demonstrating statistical superiority. The minimum leaf area was found in T<sub>1</sub> which is statistically inferior to other than treatments. The rise in leaf area as a result of foliar GA<sub>3</sub> spray can be attributed to the stimulation of increased cell division and elongation. The outcomes of the present investigation are consistent with the studies carried out by Shrinivasa [15] and Chandrappa et. al., [16].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

GA<sub>3</sub> at a rate of 350 ppm performed best in various vegetative parameters plant height

(27.94 cm), number of branches per plant (21.96), plant spread (57.02 cm<sup>2</sup>), number of leaves per plant (651.04), stem length (25.63 cm) and leaf area (9.07 cm<sup>2</sup>).

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Stehmann, João Renato, Aline P. Lorenz-Lemke, Loreta B. Freitas, and João Semir. The genus petunia. *Petunia: evolutionary, developmental and physiological genetics*. 2009;1-28.
2. Cantor, Maria, Emese Krizbai, and Erzsebet Buta. The behavior of some Petunia varieties for improvement the Romanian assortment. 2015;39-44.
3. Sharma L and Collis JP. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flower yield of petunia (*Petunia × hybrida*). *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*. 2017;9:513-14.
4. Surabhi VK, Raikar SD, Channaveeraswami AS. Studies on influence of gibberlic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on

- growth, seed yield and quality of Zinnia (*Zinnia elegans* Jacq.). Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2018;6: 548-52.
5. Alhajhoj RM. Effects of Foliar Application of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Flowering Characteristics of Chrysanthemum cv. Paintball Pakistan Journal of life Science. 2017;15(2): 114-119.
  6. Manimaran P, Ghosh S, Priyanka R. Bulb size and growth regulators on the growth and performance of bulbous ornamental crops-A Review. Chemistry Science of Review Literature. 2017;6(22):1277-1284.
  7. Delvadia DV, Ahlawat TR, Meena BJ. Effect of different GA<sub>3</sub> concentration and frequency on growth, flowering and yield in Gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug.) cv. 'Lorenziana'. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2009;4:81-84.
  8. Shinde KH, Parekh NS, Upadhyay NV, Patel HC. Investigation of different levels of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and pinching treatments on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) cv. IIHR-6 under middle Gujarat conditions. Asian Journal Horticulture. 2010;5(2):416-419.
  9. Gautam SK, Sen NL, Jain MC, Dashora LK. Effect of growth regulators on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum cv. Nilima. Orissa Journal of Horticulture. 2006;34(1):36-40.
  10. Kumar N, Kumar J, Singh JP, Kaushik H, Singh RK. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and Azotobacter on growth and flowering in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2016;11(2):382-386.
  11. Kumar A, Kumar J, Mohan B, Singh JP, Rajbeer and Ram N. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2011;6(2):418-422.
  12. Sharma MK, Joshi KI. Effect of foliar spray of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on growth, flowering and yield of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Nees) cultivars. International Journal of Agriculture Science and Research. 2015; 5(4):105-110.
  13. Tyagi AK, Kumar V. Effect of gibberellic acid and vermicompost on vegetative growth and flowering in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). Journal of Ornamental floriculture. 2006;9(2):150-151.
  14. Dhaduk BK, Singh S, Desai JR. Response of gibberellic acid on growth and flowering attributes in anthurium (*Anthurium andreanum* L.). Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2007;10(3):187-189.
  15. Srinivasa V, Reddy TV. Growth and flowering of Anthurium andreanum var. 'Mauritius Red' as influenced by gibberellic acid. Journal of Environment and Ecology. 2006;24:911-14.
  16. Chandrappa G, JYN, Gowda MC, Gowda APM. Influence of growth regulators and their combination in growth and flower production in anthurium cv. 'Royal Red'. Research Crops. 2006;7(I): 279-281.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121570>