



## New Criterion that Guarantees Sufficient Conditions for Globally Asymptotically Stable Periodic Solutions of Non-Linear Differential Equations with Delay

Ebiendele Peter<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic Science, School of General Studies, Auchi Polytechnic, Auchi, Edo State, Nigeria.

### Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2019/v31i530126

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Qi Wang, The school of mathematics science, Anhui University, Hefei, China.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Paul H. Bezandry, Howard University, USA.

(2) Ahmadjan Muhammadhaji, College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, China.

(3) Dr. Rosli Omar, Technical University of Malaysia Malacca, Malaysia.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/9171>

Received: 25 January 2014

Accepted: 29 March 2014

Published: 16 April 2019

Original Research Article

### Abstract

The objective of this paper is to investigate and give sufficient conditions that we guarantees globally asymptotically stable periodic solutions, of non-linear differential Equations with Delay of the form (1.1). The Razumikhin's technique was improve upon to enhance better result's hence equation (1.2), was studied along side with equation (1.1). Equation (1.2) is an integro-differential equations with delay kernel. Since the coefficients of (1.2) are periodic, it is re-written as equation (3.1), where  $a, b,$  and  $c \geq 0,$  and  $\omega$ - periodic continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}.$   $G \geq 0,$  is a normalized kernel from equation (1.2), which enable us to defined equation (3.1) as a fixed point. Since the defined operator  $B,$  for equation (3.1) are not empty, claim1 -1V enable us to used the fixed point theorem to investigate and established our defined properties. See, (Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) and the Liapunov's direct (second) method to prove our main results. See, (Theorem3.3, 3.4, and 3.5) which established the objective of this study.

Keywords: Periodic solutions; non-linear differential equations with delay; globally asymptotically stable; Liapunov's method; fixed theorem; Razumikhin method.

\*Corresponding author: peter.ebiendele@yahoo.com;

## 1 Introduction

The problem of stability and Boundedness of solutions has been the subject of many investigations. Many Research papers and books have been devoted to the study of stability of periodic solutions with delay of non-linear differential equations. Among others see the Literature; [1], established the necessary and sufficient conditions for the periodicity and stability results for solutions of a certain third order non-linear differential equations. And on the other hand, [2] considered conditions that guarantees periodic solutions for differential equations with state – dependent and positive feedback. [3], considered a system of delay differential equations together with a Liapunov function to established conditions that guarantees asymptotic stability when the delay is unbounded. [4], use the frequency –domain technique to established conditions for the existence of globally exponentially stable, bounded, periodic and almost periodic for some certain fifth order non-linear differential equations. [5], studied an Oscillating system to established properties that guarantees asymptotic Behaviors of the system. [6,7] derived necessary conditions for stability of motion of Regulated system with delay. [8] gives sufficient conditions that guarantees global boundedness for a delay differential equations. [9], established sufficient conditions that guarantee the properties of stability of a certain differential equations. [10] established conditions that guarantees stability of a system with delay, using Liapunov direct method. [11,12], studied an integro-differential equations and fourth order delay differential equations, to established properties of solutions that are periodic and delay in nature. [13,14], gives sufficient and necessary conditions that guarantees stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solutions of some third and fourth order nonlinear delay differential equations, and stability of periodic motion of a system with state dependent.

In this paper we study global asymptotic stability of periodic solutions with delay of the equations of the form [1.1] and [1.2], and use the Liapunov’s second method and the fixed point Theorem to established necessary and sufficient conditions that guarantees globally asymptotically stability of periodic solutions with delay of a certain non-linear differential equations. My approach in this study has an advantage over [6] and the results obtained in this study generalize the results in [3] in the case when the delay was unbounded.

In this paper, we consider a single –species model with a general periodic delay.

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x(t)[a(t) - b(t)x(t) + c(t)x(t - r(t))] \quad [1.1]$$

and

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^t G(t - s)x(s)ds \right]. \quad [1.2]$$

Where  $a(t) > 0$ ,  $b(t) > 0$ ,  $c(t) \geq 0$  and the delay  $\tau(t \geq 0)$ , are all continously differentiable in their respective argument.  $\omega$ -periodic function on  $[-\infty, \infty)$  if this model is considered as a population model which size is small, growth is proportional to the size and when the population size is not so small, the positive feedback is  $a(t) + c(t) x(t - \tau(t))$ . While the negative feedback is  $b(t) x(t)$  fixed point theorem and Razuminkin technique will be use to prove the main results which guarantee the existence conditions for globally asymptotically stable periodic solutions of nonlinear differential equations with delay of the equation [1.1], and equation [1.2]. And [1.2], is an integro-differential equations, where  $g(t)$  is called the delay kernel, is a weighting factor which indicates how much emphasis should be given to the size of the population at earlier times to determine the present effect on resource availability. The normalized delay kernel of (1.2) is given by

$$\int_0^{\infty} G(u)du = 1 \text{ if } G(u) \text{ is the Dirac function } \sigma(r - t), \text{ where } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\tau - s)f(s)ds = f(\tau).$$

and equation (1.2) reduces to

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^t \sigma(t - r - s)x(s)ds \right] = rx(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{x(t - r)}{k} \right].$$

Consider the stability of the equilibrium  $X^* = K$  for equation (1.2) and we let  $X = X - K$ . then [1.2] can be written as  $\frac{dx}{dt} = -\tau \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)x(s)ds + rx(t) \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)x(s)ds$ .

The linearized equation about  $x = k$  is given by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\tau \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)x(s)ds \tag{1.3}$$

$$\text{and the characteristic equation takes the form of } \lambda + r \int_0^{\infty} G(s)e^{-\lambda s} ds = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

If all eigen-value of the characteristic equation (1.4) have negative real part, then the solution of [1.3] that is the equilibrium  $X^*=K$  of [1.2] is asymptotically stable.

$$\text{Suppose that } V'_{(1)(t,x) \leq -w_4(|x(t)|)} \text{ of } x = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

The prototype For  $V$  is the Krasovskii functional  $V(t, x) = x^T(t) Ax(t) + \int_{t-r(t)}^t x^T(s)Bx(s) ds$ . Where  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices. If we express the second term of  $V$  as  $Z(t, x(\cdot))$ . We notice that  $\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) Z(t, x) = x^T(t)Bx(t) - x^T(t-r(t)) Bx(t-r(t)) (1-r'(t))$  so that if  $B$  and  $r'$  are bounded then  $Z$  is Lichitz in  $t$  for any bounded function  $X$ .

If the system  $X'(t) \equiv G(t, xt)$  [1.6] Where  $G$  is continuous  $G: (0 \infty)X C_m \rightarrow R^n$ , and  $G$  takes bounded sets into bounded sets. Equation (1.6) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

## 2 Notations and Definitions

The initial value in equation (1.2) is

$$x(\theta) = \Phi(\theta) \geq 0, \quad -\infty < \theta \leq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

Where  $\Phi(\theta)$  is continuous on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . an equilibrium  $X^*$  of [1.2] is called stable if given any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|\Phi(t) - X^*| \leq \delta$  for  $t \in (-\infty, 0)$  implies that any solution  $x(t)$  of [1.2] and [2.1] exist and satisfies  $|x(t) - x^*| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If in addition there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\Phi(t) - x^*| \leq \delta$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = x^*$  then  $x^*$  is called asymptotically stable

### 2.1 Stability

#### Definition 1:

The origin is said to be stable in the sense of Lyapunov or simply stable if for every real number  $\epsilon > 0$  and initial time  $t_0 > 0$  there exists a real number  $\delta > 0$  depending on  $\epsilon$  and in general on  $t$  such that for all initial conditions satisfying the inequality  $\|x_0\| < \delta$  the motion satisfies  $\|x(t)\| < \epsilon$  for all  $t > t_0$ .

#### Definition 2:

**Asymptotic stability;** The origin is said to be asymptotically stable if it is stable and every motion starting sufficiently close to the origin converges to the origin as  $t$  tends to infinity. i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t)\| \rightarrow 0$

#### Definition 3:

**Globally asymptotically stable:** the origin is said to be globally asymptotically stable in the large if in every motion starting at any point in the state space, returns to the origin at  $t$  tends to infinity.

**Definition 4:** The equilibrium state  $x = 0$  is called exponentially stable if there exist three positive number  $\delta, n, c$  such that  $\|x(t)\| \leq n\|x(t_0)\|e^{-c(t-t_0)}$  hold for every perturbed motion with  $\|x(t_0)\| < \delta$ .

### 3 Preliminary Notes

If the coefficients of equation [1.2] are periodic, it can be re-written in the form of

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t)x(t) - c(t) \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)x(s)ds \right] \tag{3.1}$$

Where  $a > 0, b > 0, c \geq 0$  are  $\omega$ -periodic continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $G \geq 0$  is a normalized kernel. let  $(\omega(R, R))$  denote the branch space of all  $\omega$ -periodic continuous functions endowed with the usual supremum norm  $\|x\| = \sup|x(\epsilon)|$  for  $a \in C_\omega$  define the average of  $a$  as  $\langle a \rangle = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega a(s)ds$  and a bounded function  $f$  is defined by  $(G * F)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)f(s)ds$  note that the  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (3.1) is a fixed point of the operator  $B: \Gamma \rightarrow C_\omega$  define by  $(Bx)(t) = u(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

Where  $\Gamma = \{x \in C_\omega : \langle a - c(G * x) \rangle > 0\}$  since  $\langle a \rangle > 0, x(t) \equiv 0$  belongs to  $\Gamma$ . That is  $\Gamma$  is not empty. Define  $u_{0(t)=(B_0)(t)}$

**Claim I.** If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  belong to  $\Gamma$  with  $x_1 \leq x_2$ , then  $Bx_2 \leq Bx_1$

In fact. Let  $\alpha_i(t) = a(t) - c(t)(G * x_i)(t)$  and  $U_i(t) = (Bx_i)(t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $\alpha_1(t) \geq \alpha_2(t)$ . Since  $\alpha_i(t) = \dot{u}_i(t)/U_i(t) + b(t)u_i(t)$ ,

we have  $\alpha_i = \langle bu_i \rangle$  because  $u_i(t) (i = 1, 2)$  are periodic. Thus, we deduce  $\langle bu_1 \rangle \geq \langle bu_2 \rangle$  and for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$   $U_2(t_0) \leq U_1(t_0)$

Setting  $v(t) = U_1(t) - U_2(t)$ , we have

$$\dot{V}(t) \geq (\alpha_1(t) - b(t)(u_1(t) + u_2(t)))v(t),$$

Which implies that  $V(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ . by the Periodicity of  $V(t)$ , we have  $Bx_2 \leq Bx_1$ .

**Claim II.** If  $V$  and  $c$  belong to  $C_\omega$ , then  $\langle c(G * v) \rangle = \langle V(G * c) \rangle$

In fact, if we define  $G(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c(G * v) \rangle &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\omega c(t) \int_{j\omega}^{(j+1)\omega} G(t-s)v(s)ds dt \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\omega c(t) \int_0^\omega G(t-s-j\omega)v(ts)ds dt \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\omega v(t) \int_{-j\omega}^{(1-j)\omega} G(t-s)c(s)ds dt \\ &= \langle V(G * c) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Claim III.** Let  $z$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle (G * z)(t) \rangle \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t); \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle (G * z)(t) \rangle \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t).$$

We only prove the first inequality. Let  $l = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  and pick  $t_c$ , such that  $z(t) > t - \epsilon$  for any  $t > t_c$ . If  $t > t_c$ ; we have  $(G * z)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_c} G(t-s)z(s)ds + \int_{t_c}^t G(t-s)z(s)ds. \geq$

$$\inf_t z(t) \int_{-\infty}^{t_c} G(t-s)ds + (l-\epsilon) \int_{t_c}^t G(t-s)ds$$

Hence  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (G * z)(t) \geq l - \epsilon$ ,

Which implies the first inequality.

**Claim IV.** Let  $U \in \Gamma$  and let  $V(t) > 0$  be the solution of (3.1). Then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (v(t) - u(t)) > 0 \text{ implies } \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} ((Bu)(t) - v(t)) > 0$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (v(t) - u(t)) < 0 \text{ implies } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (Bu)(t) - v(t) < 0$$

We prove the first statement. Let  $\omega(t) = (Bu)(t) \in R$ .

Then  $\dot{\omega}(t)$  is a solution of  $\dot{\omega}(t) = a(t)\omega(t) - b(t)\omega(t)^2 - c(t)\omega(t)(G * u)(t)$

While  $\dot{V}(t) = a(t)v(t) - b(t)v(t)^2 - c(t)v(t)(G * v)(t)$ .

$$\text{Define } \dot{Z}(t) = (a(t) - b(t)\omega(t) - c(t)(G * u))z(t) + c(t)v(t)(G * (v-u))(t)$$

$$= (\dot{\omega}(t)/\omega(t) - b(t)v(t))Z(t) + c(t)v(t)(G * (v-u))(t)$$

Let  $l = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (v(t) - u(t))$ . Because of claim III, there exist  $a, t_0 \in R$ , such that  $\dot{Z}(t) > (\dot{\omega}(t)/\omega(t) - b(t)v(t)z(t) + (t)lc(t)v(t))/2$  for all  $t > t_0$ , that is

$$Z(t) > Z(t_0) \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \beta(s)ds \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \beta(\theta) d\theta c(s)v(s)ds.$$

where  $\beta = \dot{\omega}(t)/\omega(t) - b(t)v(t)$ . Because  $\dot{\omega}(t)/\omega(t)$  is periodic and its average is zero,  $b(t)v(t)$  is positive and bounded, we can see that  $\int_{t_0}^t \beta(s)ds > k - tk_2$ . Where  $k_1 - k_2 > 0$  are constants.

$$\text{Thus } z(t) > k_3 \int_{t_0}^t \exp(s-t)k ds = (k_3/k)(1 - \exp((t_0 - t)k_2)),$$

Where  $k_3 > 0$  is a suitable constant. Then  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) \geq K_3/K$  which implies the first statement.

Below Theorems we give sufficient conditions to the proof of the main Results.

**Theorem 3.0** Let  $0 \in G, f(0) = 0$  and assume a Lyapunov function  $v: G \rightarrow R$  exists, for which  $V^{(1)}(x) \leq 0 (\forall x \in G)$ . Then the solution  $x(t) \equiv 0$  of equation (1.1) is stable in the sense of Lyapunov.

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose  $\langle a \rangle > 0$ . if  $b(t) > (G * c)(t)$  (3.2), for and  $t \in (o, w)$ , then equation (3.1) has a unique positive  $\omega$  - periodic solution  $x^*(t)$  which is globally asymptotically stable with respect to all the solutions of equation (3.1) under initial condition  $x(\theta), \theta \in (-\infty, 0), \phi(0) > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1** Let the function  $g: [\alpha - h, \beta] \rightarrow R$  be continuous for some  $h > 0, \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ , and  $\dot{g}^{ur}(t) \leq 0$  for all values  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$  for which  $g(s) < g(t) (\forall s \in [\alpha - h, t])$ . Then  $g(t) \leq \text{Max}_s \in (\alpha - h, \alpha) g(s) (\alpha \leq t < \beta)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** If  $\int_0^\infty G(s)ds < \frac{1}{r}$ , then  $x^* = K$  of [1.2] is asymptotically stable

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume the Liapunov function  $V: G \rightarrow R$  exists with  $x, y, \in G, V(Y) < V(x)$  imply  $(\text{grad } V(x), f(x, y)) \leq 0$  Then the solution  $x(t) \equiv 0$  of equation (1.1) is stable.

**Theorem 3.4;** Assume, in addition to the conditions of theorem 3.3, that for any sufficiently small  $p > 0$ , there exist  $q > p$  such that, if  $V(x) = P, V(Y) < q$ , then  $(\text{grad } V(x), f(x, y)) < 0$

Then the solution  $x(t) \equiv 0$  of equation (1.1) is asymptotically stable.

**Theorem 3.5;** Let  $V$  and  $Z: (0, \infty) \times C_m \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be continuous and let  $V$  be totally lipschitz in the second argument. Suppose that  $Z(t_2, \emptyset) - Z(t_1, \emptyset) \leq K(t_2 - t_1)$  for some  $K > 0$ , all  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ , and all  $\emptyset \in C_m$ , if  $W(|\emptyset(0)|) + Z(t, \emptyset) \leq V(t, \emptyset) \leq \omega_1(|\emptyset(0)|) + Z(t, \emptyset), Z(t, \emptyset) \leq \omega_2(\|\emptyset\|)$ , and  $v^1_{(4)}(t, x_t) \leq -\omega_4(|x(t)|)$ , then  $x = 0$  is uniformly asymptotically stable of (1.6).

## 4 The Proofs of the main Results

Proof of Theorem 3.1

Since  $\dot{u}_0(t)/u_0(t) = a(t) - b(t)u_0(t)$ , the periodicity of  $u_0(t)$  and claim II imply that  $\langle a \rangle = \langle bu_0 \rangle > \langle c(G * u_0) \rangle$ . As  $u_0 > 0$ , we have  $Bu_0 \leq u_0$ . therefore, for any  $V \in C\omega$  satisfying  $0 < V \leq u_0$ , we have  $Bu_0 \leq BV < u_0$ . Hence, the set  $\Gamma_0 = \{V \in C\omega: 0 < V \leq u_0\}CF$  is invariant under B. moreover,

$$Bu_0 \leq BV \leq u_0 \Rightarrow Bu_0 \leq B^2V \leq B^2_{u_0} \Rightarrow B^3_{u_0} \leq B^3V \leq B^2_{u_0}$$

And by induction

$$B^{2n+1}u_0 \leq B^{2n+1}V \leq B^{2n}u_0, B^{2n+1}u_0 \leq B^{2n+2}V \leq B^{2n+2}u_0, n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

Since  $0 < B^2_0 = Bu_0$ , by claim I, we know that  $\{B^{2n+2}u_0\}$  is increasing and  $\{B^{2n}u_0\}$  is decreasing. Define

$$Un(t) = (B^n u_0)(t) = (BU_{n-1})(t).$$

Then

$$u^-(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{2n+1}(t) \text{ and } U^+(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Un(t)$$

Exist with  $0 < u^-(t) \leq u^+(t)$ . If we can show that  $u^-(t) = u^+(t) = u^*(t)$ , it is easy to see that  $u^*(t)$  is the unique fixed point of B. by the definition, we have,  $\dot{U}n(t)(a(t) - c(t)(G * Un - 1)(t)Un(t) - b(t)(un)^2$

By the Monotonicity and uniform boundedness of  $\{Un\}$ . We have the  $L^2$  - convergence of both  $U_{2n+1}$  and  $U_{2n}$  and their derivatives.

Taking the limits, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}^-(t) &= (a(t) - C(t)(G * u^+)(t)u^-(t) - b(t)u^-(t)^2 \\ \dot{u}^+(t) &= (a(t) - C(t)(G * u^-)(t)u^+(t) - b(t)u^+(t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing them by  $u^-(t)$  and  $u^+(t)$  respectively, we have

$\langle a - c(G * u^+) - bu^- \rangle = \langle a - c(G * u^-) - bu^+ \rangle$  followed by the fact that  $Inu^+$  and  $Inu^-$  are periodic. Let  $V(t) = U^+(t) - U^-(t)$ . Then we have  $\{C(G * V)\} = (bV)$ . Now by claim II we have  $\{C(G * V)\} = \{V\{G * C\}\}$ .

Hence,  $\{V(b - G * C)\} = 0$ , which implies that  $V = 0$  by the assumption (3.2).

Therefore,  $U^*(t)$  is a unique periodic solution of the equation (3.1)

To prove the global stability, first, we show that any solution  $v(t)$  of equation (3.1) satisfies  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) > 0$ . In fact, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{V}(t) < a(t)v(t) - b(t)v(t)^2$$

and 
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup(v(t) - (Bu)(t)) \leq 0$$

Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $u(t) = U_0(t) + \epsilon \in \Gamma$ . By claim IV we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (v(t) - (Bu)(t)) \geq \epsilon.$$

Since  $(Bu)(t)$  is strictly positive and periodic, we have  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) > 0$ . Thus by claim III,  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (U_0(t) - V(t)) > 0$  and by induction,  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) - (B^{2n+1}U_0)(t) > 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup(v(t) - (B^{2n}U_0)(t)) < 0$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $n$  such that  $U^*(t) - \epsilon < (B^{2n+1}u_0)(t) < (B^{2n}U_0)(t) < U^*(t) + \epsilon$ . Since  $(B^{2n+1}u_0)(t) < v(t) < (B^{2n}u_0)(t)$  for large  $t$ , it follows that the sequence  $\{B^j u\}$  tends to  $u^*$  uniformly as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . This complete the proof. If  $a, b$  and  $c$  are real positive constants, then condition (3.1) becomes  $b > c$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** If  $b > c$  and  $g$  satisfies the above assumptions, then the positive equilibrium  $x^* = a/(b + c)$  of equation (3.1) (with constant coefficient) is globally stable with respect to positive solutions of (3.1).

**Proof of Theorem 3.2**

Proof. Since the roots of (1.4) coincide with the zeros of the function  $g(\lambda) = \lambda + r \int_0^\infty G(s) e^{-\lambda s} ds$ , applying the argument principle to  $g(\lambda)$  along the contour  $\Gamma = \Gamma(a, \epsilon)$  that constitutes the boundary of the region

$$\{\lambda / \epsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq a, -a \leq \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq a, 0 < \epsilon < a\}.$$

Since the zeros of  $g(\lambda)$  are isolated, we may choose  $a$  and  $\epsilon$ . So that no zeros of  $g(\lambda)$  lie on  $\Gamma$ . The argument principle now states that the number of zeros of  $g(\lambda)$  contained in the region bounded by  $\Gamma$  is equal to the number of times  $g(\lambda)$  wraps  $\tau$  around the origin as  $\lambda$  traverses  $\Gamma$ . (A zero of  $g(\lambda)$  of multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times). Thus, it suffices to show for all small  $\epsilon > 0$  and all large  $a > r$ , that  $g(\lambda)$  does not on circle 0 as  $\lambda$  traverses  $\Gamma(a, \epsilon)$ .

Along the segment of  $\Gamma$  given by  $\lambda = a + iv, -a \leq v \leq a$ ,

We have

$$g(a+iv) = a + iv + r \int_0^\infty G(s) e^{-(a+iv)s} ds.$$

Since  $a > 0$ , it follow that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty G(s) e^{-(a+iv)s} ds \right| \leq \left| \int_0^\infty G(s) ds \right| = 1$$

Because  $a > r$ , we may conclude that every real value assumed by  $g(\lambda)$  along this segment must be positive. Along the segment of

$\Gamma$  given by  $\lambda = \mu + ia, C \leq a$ , we have

$$g(\mu + ia) = \mu + ia + r \int_0^\infty G(s) e^{-(\mu + iv)s} ds.$$

A similar argument show  $g(\lambda)$  to assume no real value along this path. In fact,  $\lim g(\mu + ia)$  is always negative here. Similarly, one can show that the  $\lim g(u-ia)$  is negative along 0. The segment  $\lambda = \mu - ia, C \leq \mu \leq a$ . By continuity  $g(\lambda)$  must assume at least one positive real value (and no negative values) as  $\lambda$  travels clock wise from  $\epsilon + ia$  to  $\epsilon - ia$  along  $\tau$ .

Finally, consider the path traced out as  $\lambda = \epsilon + iv$  increase from  $\epsilon - ia$  to  $\epsilon + ia$ . under the assumption,  $\text{Im } g(\epsilon + iv)$  is seen to increase monotonically with  $v$ . in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dv} \text{Im} g(\epsilon + iv) &= \frac{d}{dv} \left( v + r \int_0^\infty G(s)e^{-\epsilon s} \text{Sin}(vs) ds \right) \\ &= 1 + r \int_0^\infty sG(s)e^{-\epsilon s} \text{Cos}(vs) ds \\ &\geq 1 - r \int_0^\infty sG(s) ds \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that  $g(\lambda)$  assumes precisely one real value along this last segment of  $\Gamma$ . Since no zero of  $g(\lambda)$  lies on  $\tau$ , that real value is non-zero. Assuming it to be negative,  $g(\lambda)$  would have wrapped  $\Gamma$  once about the origin, predicting exactly one zero  $\lambda$   $v_0$  of  $g(\lambda)$  inside the region bounded by  $\Gamma$ .

Since  $\alpha$  and  $G$  are real, the zeros of  $g(\lambda)$  occur in complex conjugate pairs, forcing  $\lambda$  to be real.

This, however, is a contradiction since the positivity of  $\alpha$  shows  $g(\lambda)$  to have no real positive zeros. Thus, the real assumed by  $g(\lambda)$  along this last segment must be positive.

Therefore,  $g(\lambda)$  does not encircle the origin.

This completes the proof.

### Proof of Theorem 3.3

For this proof, let set  $g(t) = v(x(t))$ , where  $x(\cdot)$  is any solution of equation (1.1) with initial function  $\emptyset$  sufficiently close to zero, and apply lemma 3.1. We see that even a stronger statement for such solutions holds:  $v(x(t)) \text{ Max } [t_0 - h, t_0] V(\emptyset)$ . However in general, the monotonicity of the function  $t \rightarrow V(x(t))$  does not hold true, unlike the situation arising from value conditions of Theorem 3.0. Thus the function  $v$  turns out not to be a guiding function in the restricted sense, but a “barrier” function.

It should be noted that the function  $t \rightarrow g(t)$ , defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3, is smooth for  $t \geq \alpha: = t_0$ . Lemma 3.1 follows easily under this additional assumption from the following particular case of A. Sard’s theorem: a set of stationary values of a smooth function of a single argument has that everywhere dense complement.

### Proof of Theorem 3.4

Indeed, if the solution  $t \rightarrow x(t)$  of equation (1.1) is modulo sufficiently small and does not tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then we denote  $P: = \overline{\text{lim}}_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(x(t))$ , and apply the additional condition of Theorem 3.3 which leads to the contradiction.

In particular, we obtain for  $n = 1$ , taking  $v(x) = x^2$ , that the condition  $|b| < -a$  is sufficient for the globally asymptotic stability of equation (1.1).

**Remark:** If the function  $v$  is defined on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in theorem 3.4, and  $v(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p > 0$  can be arbitrary, then the solution of equation (1.1) tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for arbitrary initial function.

### Proof of Theorem 3.5

We first show uniform stability. Let  $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$  be given.



We will find  $\bar{\sigma} > 0$  such that ( $t_0 \geq 0, \|\phi\| < \sigma$ , and  $t \geq t_0$ )

Implies  $|x(t, \phi)| < \bar{\epsilon}$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $W_1(\sigma) + W_2(\sigma) < W(\epsilon)$ . Thus, if  $\|\phi\| < \delta$  and  $x(t) = x(t, \phi)$ , then  $W(|x(t) - 1|) \leq V(t, x_t) \leq V(t_0, \phi) \leq w_1(\|\phi\|) + w_2(\|\phi\|) < W(\epsilon)$  so that  $|x(t) - 1| < \epsilon$  if  $t > t_0$ , yielding uniform stability.

To complete the proof we must find  $\eta > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T$  for which ( $t_0 \geq 0, \|\phi\| < \eta$ , and  $t \geq t_0 + T$ ) imply  $|x(t, \phi) - 1| < \epsilon$ . Pick the  $\bar{\delta}$  of uniform stability when  $\bar{\epsilon} = M$ . Select a  $\eta = \bar{\delta}$ . Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and let  $t_0 \geq 0, \|\phi\| < \eta$ , and let  $x(t) = x(t, \phi)$ .

For the given  $\epsilon > 0$ , find the  $\delta$  of uniform stability as in the above proof. By that proof we see that on each interval of length  $h$  either  $|x(t) - 1| \geq \delta$  for some  $t$  in the interval, or  $|x(t) - 1| < \epsilon$  for all subsequent  $t$ . Thus, let  $t_0 \geq 0$  be arbitrary and suppose there is a sequence  $(t_n)$  with  $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_0 + h \leq t_2 \leq t_0 + 2h \leq t_3 \leq \dots \leq t_0 + (n-1)h \leq t_n \leq t_n + nh \dots$  with  $|x(t_i) - 1| \geq \delta$ . We will show that not exceed a fixed integer. As in Theorem 3.0 without proof, we use the right Lipschitz condition on  $W$  to find  $k > 0$  and  $P > 0$  with  $P \leq h$  and  $|x(t) - 1| > K$  if  $t_i - P \leq t \leq t_i$ . We select only the  $t_i$  with even indices so that the intervals over which we now integrate do not overlap.

For  $t \geq t_{2n}$ , we have  $V(t, x_t) \leq V(t_0, \phi) -$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{2i-P}}^{t_{2i}} W_4(k) dt \leq W_1(\eta) + W_2(\eta) - nP W_4(k). \text{ Choose } N$$

$> [W_1(\eta) + W_2(\eta)] / P W_4(k)$  and select  $T = 2Nh$ . This yields uniform asymptotic stability of equation [1.6], which guarantees the globally asymptotically stability of equations [1.1]. This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.1, Obviously,** the authors in [2-10] considered existence of periodic solutions with delay of non-linear differential equations of various order. Author in [3] considered a system of differential equations with delay and use Liapunov's function to establish asymptotic stability where the delay was unbounded. Hence, the results obtained in [2-10] are not the same in this paper which implies that the results of this paper are essentially new. Theorem 3.1, lemma 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and the inequality 1-1V established the conditions for equation (1.2) to be globally asymptotically stability of periodic solutions with delay. And theorem 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 with Liapunov's second method, prove properties that satisfied globally asymptotically stability of non-linear differential equation.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we study global asymptotic stability of periodic solutions with delay of the equations of the form [1.1] and [1.2], and use the Liapunov's second method and the fixed point Theorem to establish necessary and sufficient conditions that guarantee globally asymptotically stability of periodic solutions with delay of a certain non-linear differential equations. My approach in this study has an advantage over [6] and the results obtained in this study generalize the results in [3] in the case when the delay was unbounded.

## Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- [1] Afuwape AU, Adesina OA, Ebiebele PE. Periodicity and stability results for solutions of a certain third-order non-linear differential equations. 2007;23:147-15. Available:www.emisa.de/journals
- [2] Bartha M. Periodic solutions for differential equations with state-dependent and positive feedback. Nonlinear Analysis. TMA. 2003;53:839-857.

- [3] Burton TA. Stability for delay equations. Funkcialaj, Ekvacioj. 1979;22:67-76.
- [4] Ebiendele PE. On the stability results for solutions of some fifth order nonlinear differential equations. Advances in Applied Science Research. 2011;2(5):328-334.
- [5] Gopalsamy K, Ladas G. On the oscillation and asymptotic behavior  $N(t) = N(t)[(a + bN)(CN)^2((t - r))]$ ,  $\emptyset$  uart. Appl. Math. 1990;48:433-440.
- [6] Krasovskii NN. Stability of motion. Application of Lyapunov's second method to differential systems and equation with delay translated by JL. Brenner Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif, 1963.
- [7] Kolmanovskii VB, Nosov VR. Stability and periodic solutions of regulated system with delay. Nauka, Moscow; 1981. [In Russian]
- [8] Luckhaus S. Global boundedness for a delay differential equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1986;294:767-774.
- [9] Lypaunov AM. "Problem" General de la stabilite' du momement." Reprinted in Annals of Mathematical Studies No 17, Princeton University press, Princeton, NJ; 1949. (Russian Edition 1892).
- [10] Razumikhin BS. On stability of systems with delay. Pryki. Mat. I Mekh (Appl. Math and Mech.) 1956;20(N<sub>4</sub>):500-512. (In Russian)
- [11] Simpson HC. Stability of periodic solutions of integro-differential SIAM. Appl. Math. 1980;38:341-363.
- [12] Tunç C. On the tability of solutions of certain fourth-order delay differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Mechanics. 27(8):1141-1148. [English Edition]
- [13] Tejumoa HO, Tchegnani B. Stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solutions of some third and fourth order nonlinear delay differential equations. J. Nigerian Math. Soc. 2000;19:9-19.
- [14] Waither HO. Stable periodic motion of a system with state dependant delay. Differential Integral Equations. 2002;15:923-944.

---

© 2019 Peter; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/9171>