

Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research

**34(22): 267-272, 2022; Article no.JAMMR.92217 ISSN: 2456-8899** (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

# Dry Socket: An Infectious Process Treated by Ciprofloxacin: Case Studies

## Wael Khalil<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lebanese University, Lebanon.

#### Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2022/v34i2231601

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92217

Case Report

Received 12 July 2022 Accepted 23 September 2022 Published 27 September 2022

## ABSTRACT

Dry socket is considered as the most common complication following tooth extraction. The incidence of dry socket is around 3% for all routine extractions and might surpass 30% for impacted mandibular third molars. It is accompanied by a partially or totally disintegrated blood clot within the alveolar socket, with or without halitosis. Dry socket is mainly manifested by severe irradiating pain that starts 1 to 4 days after dental extraction where the socket becomes denuded, exposed and tender to touch. Based on findings following previous bacterial culture and antibiogram on alveolar swab of rebellion cases of dry socket, we proposed a new pathogenesis theory based on infectious process. Antibiogram showed sensitivity to ciprofloxacin. Based on this fact we proposed a new treatment that consists of prescribing ciprofloxacin to cases unresponsive to topical conventional treatment. In this paper, we present a serial of 6 cases of dry socket following simple and surgical extraction procedures which were mostly associated with previous infection. The onset of pain started after 1-4 days of extraction where it was radiating and nonresponsive to pain killers. Clinical examination revealed exposed denuded socket in all subjects. All 6 patients were ASA1 and none has allergy to ciprofloxacin where the later was prescribed at 500 mg 3 times per day for 5 days. All patients were totally relieved in 12 to 24 hours after antibiotic intake. This clinical result, in addition to previous laboratory findings, support more the infectious process in the pathogenesis of dry socket.

Keywords: Dry socket; alveolar osteitis; ciprofloxacin; post-extraction pain; surgical extraction complication.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: waelkhalil74@hotmail.com;

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Drv socket is considered as the most common complication following tooth extraction and it's one of the most studied complications in dentistry. The incidence of dry socket is around 3% for all routine extractions and might surpass 30% for impacted mandibular third molars [1,2]. Drv socket, or alveolar osteitis, is manifested by severe post-operative pain in and around the site of extraction with increasing intensity. It may start at any time between the first and fourth day after extraction. It originates with a partially or totally disintegrated blood clot within the extraction socket, usually associated with halitosis [1]. Clinically, the alveolus becomes denudated, tender to touch, exposed and might be covered by a grevish tissue layer and lymphadenitis might be present in some cases. The pain, it causes, is usually resistant to analgesics and antiinflammatory drugs and radiates to ear and neck. Through the literature, all studies that tried to explain the pathophysiology of dry socket have reached that it is mainly caused by lack of abnormal formation, formation. or early disintegration of blood clot in the socket after extraction [3]. Several preventive measures were mentioned in the literature that would help reduce the incidence of dry socket such as using local hemostatic agents, gelatin sponge, plasma rich in growth factor and laser application [4]. Concerning its treatment, multiple studies suggest to start by removing debris from the socket by applying 0.2% chlorhexidine or saline. Then sedatives like eugenol may be applied to reduce the pain [5]. The efficacy of systemic antibiotic, like penicillin, have been controversial [6]. Recently, the application of laser and the use of plasma rich in growth factor with gelatin sponge have been also mentioned [7,8].

#### 2. CASE REPORT

Based on previous findings of bacterial culture and antibiogram on 3 reported cases of dry socket [9], this paper presents a serial case of dry socket of 6 patients aged 18 to 65 years old. For these patients, a topical treatment based on socket irrigation with saline followed by topical sedative dressing of eugenol was inefficient.

All these patients were treated by ciprofloxacin 500 mg 3 times per day (20 mg /kg/ day) for a period of 5 days and were relieved 12 to 24 hours after the antibiotic intake. 3 cases were related to mandibular wisdom teeth, among which 2 presented with previous pericoronitis and associated with surgical removal. The 3

Khalil; JAMMR, 34(22): 267-272, 2022; Article no.JAMMR.92217

remaining cases were related to simple extractions with previous periapical infections and are described as the following: 1 left mandibular second premolar, 1 left upper first premolar and 1 right first molar (Table 1).

Physical examination and medical history of all patients were normal and contributory. Extraoral examination also was normal for all patients. No abnormalities were noticed or reported that could reveal any congenital or genetic malformation.

Patients were categorized as ASA1. Also, none had a history of allergy or hypersensitivity to any drug.

2 patients were heavy smokers, one patient reported a history of previous incidence of dry socket and 3 patients had poor oral hygiene (Table 1).

The intraoral clinical examination showed exposed and denuded socket, tender to touch. The pain was self-reported by each patient based on the verbal rating scale . The pain described verbally by each patient was severe, irradiating and it was resistant and unresponsive to pain killers.

The diagnosis of dry socket was based on clinical signs including exposed and denuded socket, and symptoms which were mainly manifested by pain onset ranged from day 1 to day 4 with resistance to pure analgesics and NSAIDS.

## 3. DISCUSSION

Dry socket is considered as one of the most common and painful complications associated to dental extraction.

In this report, all included subjects had clinical signs and symptoms that fit the criteria of dry socket which include the onset after 1-4 days of extraction and its intensity.

The pain described verbally by each patient being severe, irradiating and resistant and unresponsive to pain killers rule out the diagnosis of postoperative inflammatory pain which normally occurs immediately after extraction and remains for 1-2 days only. Also, inflammatory pain resolves after NSAIDs intake. In these 6 reported cases, the delayed onset of pain, the absence of response to NSAIDs and the clinical signs, mainly the denuded socket, supported the diagnosis of dry socket.

	Tooth	Age	Gender	Simple Extraction	Surgical Extraction	Presence of infection	Medical history	Oral hygiene	Smoking	Additional notes
Case 1	46	60	Female		Х	+	ASA1	Good	-	
Case 2	48	23	Male	Х		-	ASA1	Poor	-	
Case 3	35	38	Female	Х		+	ASA1	Poor	Heavy smoker*	History of previous dry socket
Case 4	14	55	Female	Х		+	ASA1	Good	-	
Case 5	48	65	Male		Х	+	ASA1	Good	Heavy smoker	
Case 6	38	18	Female		Х	+	ASA1	Poor	-	

## Table 1. Description of cases included in the study

\*Heavy smoker is considered if the number of cigarettes is greater than 20 per day

After treatment with ciprofloxacin, pain was selfreported by each patient based on the verbal rating scale, according to this scale, all patients describe that their pain level is none after 24 hours of ciprofloxacin intake and mentioned that they no longer needed to take pain killer [10].

Regarding microbiological aspect of dry socket, yet, none have mentioned that bacteria is the causal agent and the main contributor in the pathogenesis of dry socket. However, 2 authors have described the potential role of bacteria in socket healing process by affecting the C-reactive protein [3], or by delaying the normal process [3,11].

Dry socket management has always been challenging. Some preventive measurements have been described in order to reduce its incidence such as antibiotics prescription, chlorhexidine application, or the use of gelatin sponge [4]. Prophylactic antibiotic has been suggested as preventive measure to reduce dry socket incidence. Many molecules have been used such as penicillin, mainly amoxicillin in 500 mg or 2 g doses, which is considered the most commonly used one [1]. However, the systematic review done by Arteagoitea et al. in 2015 showed that the use of amoxicillin alone does not reduce the risk of dry socket, thus its use was not justified. Yet, they mentioned that amoxicillin should be rather used with clavulanic acid to lower the chance of dry socket [12]. In addition, azithromycin was mentioned to be effective when given 1 hour before third molar extraction surgery to prevent dry socket [13]. It has been also effective when given post-operatively with single dose of 500 mg per day for 3 days after extraction [14]. Also, the use of nitromidazoles was mentioned in the literature and it showed a lower efficacy in decreasing the risk of dry socket than penicillin [15]. In addition, some have described the used of lincomycin for the prevention of alveolar osteitis and to avoid the formation of trismus and pain after extraction [16].

As for the treatment of dry socket, curative antibiotic prescription has not been described as a principal treatment and has not been prescribed to target specific bacteria, however, it was used as a management for post-operative complications mainly after surgical removal of third molars. For this purpose, amoxicillin has been the most commonly used molecule for treatment as it was the situation for prophylaxis against dry socket [6,17]. Through the literature, the treatment was rather based on local socket management such as saline irrigation followed by antiseptic and/or sedative dressing [18]. In addition, several treatment options were mentioned in the literature such as the use of suture and local hemostatic agents, low level laser, alvogyl® and Salicept® patch, the placement of eugenol on a gauze with local anesthetics and the use of plasma rich in growth factors [4].

In our reported cases, systemic antibiotic prescription using ciprofloxacin in 6 rebellion cases showed efficacy for all patients. This result confirmed our previous observations and findings regarding the infectious aspect of dry socket.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa appeared in the bacterial culture was sensitive to ciprofloxacin antibiotic [9]. However, due to its broad-spectrum activity, the efficacy of ciprofloxacin is not limited to Pseudomonas aeruginosa, therefore, other species can be suspected in the pathogenesis of dry socket. Since blood clot disorder has been thoroughly described and demonstrated as a mechanism in dry socket incidence, candidate bacteria that might be suspected and involved in the pathogenesis of dry socket should have the ability to affect the blood clot. Pseudomonas aeruginosa has shown the ability to induce infection in addition to intrinsic fibrinolytic properties. To explain, Pseudomonas aeruginosa has the ability to bind to plasminogen and transform it to plasmin [11]. Also, it can affect coagulation and fibrinolysis by inducing p38MAP kinase [19]. This effect can eventually lead to expose the alveolus leading to dry socket.

Based on our previous laboratory findings as well as on our clinical results, we propose some preventive measurements:

1- Improve oral hygiene before any dental extraction to reduce the bacterial load.

2- Mouth washing with 2% Chlorhexidine for 30 seconds before extraction.

3- Prescribe prophylactic antibiotics and in particular cases associated with local predisposing factors including difficult or traumatic extractions, pre-existing infection like pericoronitis and periodontitis or periapical infection and poor oral hygiene [20], systemic predisposing factors including age, smoking, the use of oral contraceptives and anti-inflammatory drugs, the presence of comorbidities such as diabetes and chemotherapy [20,21]. Women are at higher risk. In particular the first 3 weeks of the menstrual cycle [22]. The best prophylactic molecule seems to be azithromycin or ciprofloxacin.

4- Irrigate the socket copiously after extraction, using 2% Chlorhexidine and 5% lodine solution.

As for treatment we propose local treatment that consist of copious irrigation using 2% chlorhexidine and 5% iodine followed by eugenol application used as sedative agent in addition to its antiseptic and biofilm disruptor properties.

Systemic curative antibiotic prescription should be limited to rebellion cases to topical treatment. ciprofloxacin seems to be efficient, further exploration are needed to confirm the efficacy of other molecules.

## 4. CONCLUSION

According to our previous laboratory findings and to our clinical results, the efficacy of prescription of ciprofloxacin in treating rebellion case of dry socket has been clearly shown. In addition, infectious process has solid facts in the pathogenesis of dry socket and this finding should be implemented in our practice in dry socket management. Nevertheless, 6 reported cases are insufficient for an evidence-based practice. Further microbial investigations on large number of cases is necessary to explore potential involvement of other microbial agents mainly, bacteria, in dry socket pathogenesis as well the efficacy of another antimicrobial agent.

## CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Doctor Marwa Jaffal for helping in manuscript editing.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Taberner-Vallverdú M, Sánchez-Garcés MÁ, Gay-Escoda C. Efficacy of different methods used for dry socket prevention and risk factor analysis: A systematic review. Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal. Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal. 2017;22:e750-8.
- 2. Krakowiak PA. Alveolar osteitis and osteomyelitis of the jaws. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinics of North America. 2011;23:401-13.
- Cardoso CL, Rodrigues MTV, Ferreira O, Garlet GP, de Carvalho PSP. Clinical concepts of dry socket. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2010;68(8):1922-32.
- Tarakji B, Saleh LA, Umair A, Azzeghaiby SN, Hanouneh S. Systemic review of dry socket: Aetiology, treatment, and prevention. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2015;9(4):ZE10-3.
- Haraji A, Rakhshan V. Single-dose intraalveolar chlorhexidine gel application, easier surgeries, and younger ages are associated with reduced dry socket risk. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2014;72(2):259-65.
- Vessal G, Khabiri A, Mirkhani H, Cookson BD, Askarian M. Study of antibiotic prescribing among dental practitioners in Shiraz. Islamic Republic of Iran. 2011;17.
- Kaya GŞ, Yapici G, Savaş Z, Güngörmüş M. Comparison of alvogyl, SaliCept patch, and low-level laser therapy in the management of alveolar osteitis. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2011; 69(6):1571-7.
- 8. Pai U, Singh B, Verma V. Comparative evaluation of zinc oxide eugenol versus gelatin sponge soaked in plasma rich in growth factor in the treatment of dry socket: An initial study. Contemp Clin Dent. 2013;4(1):37-41.
- 9. Khalil W. New paradigm in explaining dry socket: Case Studies. J Adv Med Med Res. 2022;276-81.
- Ozgur Karcioglu, Hakan Topacoglu, Ozgur Dikme, Ozlem Dikme. A systematic review of the pain scales in adults: Which to use? Am J Emerg Med. 2018;36(4):707-14.

- Da Silva CMF, De Abreu Vidipó L, Nishi R, Plotkowski MC. Binding of plasminogen to Pseudomonas aeruginosa results in formation of surface-associated plasmin and enhanced bacterial invasiveness. Microb Pathog. 2004;36(2):59-66.
- 12. Arteagoitia MI, Barbier L, Santamaría J, Santamaría G, Ramos E. Efficacy of amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in the prevention of infection and dry socket after third molar extraction. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicina Oral Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal. Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal. 2016;21:e494-504.
- Sane VD, Gadre KS, Chandan S, Ramanojam S, Singh V, Halli R. Efficacy of single dose azithromycin as prophylactic antibiotic in surgical removal of mandibular third molars: A clinical study. J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2013;12(4):382-6.
- 14. Ishihama K, Kimura T, Yasui Y, Komaki M, Ota Y. Azithromycin as prophylaxis for the prevention of postoperative infection in impacted mandibular third-molar surgery. Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy. 2006;12(1):31-5.
- Ramos E, Santamaría J, Santamaría G, Barbier L, Arteagoitia I. Do systemic antibiotics prevent dry socket and infection after third molar extraction? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology. Mosby Inc. 2016;122:403-25.
- 16. Izabela Wiśniewska 1 ASLMKST. Lincomycin applied to the alveolus on TCP carrier and its effect on wound healing

after surgical extraction of a third molar. Ann Acad Med Stetin. 2009;55(2):59-64.

- Bezerra TP, Studart-Soares EC, Scaparo HC, Pita-Neto IC, Batista SHB, Fonteles CSR. Prophylaxis versus placebo treatment for infective and inflammatory complications of surgical third molar removal: A split-mouth, double-blind, controlled, clinical trial with amoxicillin (500 mg). Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2011;69(11).
- Mamoun J. Dry socket etiology, diagnosis, and clinical treatment techniques. Journal of the Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. 2018;44:52-8.
- Giri H, Cai X, Panicker SR, Biswas I, Rezaie AR. Thrombomodulin regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(8).
- 20. Tarakji B, Saleh LA, Umair A, Azzeghaiby SN, Hanouneh S. Systemic review of dry socket: Aetiology, treatment and prevention. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2015;9(4):ZE10-3.
- 21. Almutairi BM. Dry sockets-a systemic review [Internet]. Available:www.als-journal.com
- Gowda GG, Viswanath D, Kumar M, Umashankar DN. Article in Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology January [Internet]. Management. J Indian Aca Oral Med Radiol. 2013;25. Available:https://www.researchgate.net/pu blication/273250883

© 2022 Khalil; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92217